IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 20871 of 2004

Between:

Ponnam Srinivas S/o Rajaiah Keshavapatnam Village Keshavapatnam Mandal Karimnagar District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Superintendent Engineer, Operation, NPDCL, Karimnagar
2 The Chairman & Managing Director, NPDCL, Karimnagar

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue Writ of mandamus: 1. to declare the result of the petitioner pursuant to the interview as per B.P.Ms. NO. 36 dated 18-5-1997 2. to declare the action of the respondent in not declaring the result till dated as illegal arbitrary and unconstitutional 3. to appoint the petitioner as JLM, in the existing future vacancies. 4. call for the records.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MS.R.ANNAPURNA

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.SAMINENI KISHORE , Standing Counsel for NPDCL

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following :

-

-

-

ORAL ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in not declaring the result till date pursuant to the interview as per B.P.Ms.No.36, dated 18.5.1997 as illegal and arbitrary and a consequential direction to the respondents to appoint the petitioner as Junior Lineman.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondent and perused the material on record.

It is not known whether the petitioner is qualified in the interview or not. The case of the petitioner has to be considered for the post of Junior Lineman as per B.P.Ms.No.36, dated 18.5.1997 and B.P.Ms.No.271, dated 31.12.1997 and appropriate decision has to be taken by the respondents in accordance with the Board Proceedings

to know whether the petitioner is suitable for appointment in any of the post that may fall for existence in future.

Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, I consider it appropriate to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with the B.P.Ms.No.36, dated 18.5.1997 and B.P.Ms.No.271, dated 31.12.1997 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of in terms of the above direction. In the circumstances of the case, there shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(K.C. BHANU, J.)

17th February, 2005.

bcj

To

1 The Superintendent Engineer, Operation, NPDCL, Karimnagar
2 The Chairman & Managing Director, NPDCL, Karimnagar

3 Two C.D. copies.